arXiv:cond-mat/0111186v1 [cond-mat.soft] 10 Nov 2001

Probability Distributions and Particle Number Fluctuations of Trapped Bose-Einstein Condensates in Different Dimensions

Hongwei Xiong[1,2], Shujuan Liu[1], Guoxiang Huang[3], FengMin Wu[4], Zhijun Xu[1]
[1]*Department of Applied Physics, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, 310032, China*
[2]*Zhijiang College, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, 310012, China*
[3]*Department of Physics, East China Normal University, Shanghai, 200062, China*
[4] *College of Mathematics and Physics, Zhejiang Normal University, 321004, China*

(February 6, 2008)

The analytical probability distribution of finite systems obeying Bose-Einstein statistics in one, two, and three dimensions are investigated by using a canonical ensemble approach. Starting from the canonical partition function of the system, a unified approach is provided to study the probability distribution of a condensate for various confinements and in different dimensions. Based on the probability distribution function, it is straightforward to obtain the mean ground state occupation number and particle number fluctuations of the condensate. It is found that the particle number fluctuations in a trapped Bose gas are strongly dependent on the type of confining potential and on the dimensionality of the system.

03.75.Fi, 05.30.Jp

I. INTRODUCTION

The experimental achievement of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) in trapped dilute alkali atoms [1], spin-polarized hydrogen [2] and metastable helium [3] has stimulated considerable theoretical research [4–6] on this unique phenomenon. The BEC has been recently realized in quasi-one and quasi-two dimensions [7], where new phenomena such as quasicondensates with a fluctuating phase [8–10] and a Tonks gas of impenetrable bosons [9,11,12] may be observed. Among the several intriguing questions on the statistical properties of the trapped Bose gas, the probability distribution and particle number fluctuations $\left\langle \delta^2 N_{\mathbf{0}} \right\rangle$ of condensate play an important role. Apart from the intrinsic theoretical interest, it is foreseeable that the condensate fluctuations will become experimentally testable in the near future [13]. On the other hand, the calculation of $\left\langle \delta^2 N_{\mathbf{0}} \right\rangle$ is important for investigating the phase collapse time of the condensate [14,15]. Recall that the BEC can not occur in one-dimensional (1D) and two-dimensional (2D) uniform Bose gases at finite temperature, because in that case thermal fluctuations can destabilize the condensate. The realization of the trapped BECs in various dimensions makes the behavior of particle number fluctuations a very interesting problem, especially for 1D and 2D harmonically trapped Bose gases.

Within a grand-canonical ensemble the particle number fluctuations of the condensate are given by $\left\langle \delta^2 N_{\mathbf{0}} \right\rangle = N_{\mathbf{0}} \left(N_{\mathbf{0}} + 1 \right)$, implying that $\delta N_{\mathbf{0}}$ becomes of order N when the temperature approaches zero. To avoid this sort of unphysically large condensate fluctuations, a canonical (or a microcanonical) ensemble has to be used to investigate $\delta N_{\mathbf{0}}$. Because in the experiment the trapped atoms are cooled continuously from the surrounding, the system can be taken as being in contact with a heat bath but the total number of particles in the system is conserved. It is therefore necessary to use the canonical ensemble to investigate the statistical properties of the trapped Bose gas.

Within the canonical (or microcanonical) ensemble, the particle number fluctuations have been studied in the case of three-dimensional (3D) ideal Bose gases confined in a box [16–20], and in the presence of a harmonic trap [20–27]. The question of how interatomic interactions affect the particle number fluctuations has also been the object of several recent theoretical investigations [28–34]. Although the phase fluctuations [8,9,35] of a condensate have been studied for quasi-1D and quasi-2D Bose gases, to best our knowledge up to now an analytical description of the probability distribution and the behavior of $\delta N_{\mathbf{0}}$ for trapped 1D and 2D Bose gases have not been given directly from the microscopic statistics of the system. The purpose of the present work is an attempt to calculate $\delta N_{\mathbf{0}}$ of the trapped ideal Bose gas in various dimensions, using the analytical description of the probability distribution obtained directly from the analysis of the canonical partition function of the system. In addition, the probability distribution of the condensate will be used to calculate the mean ground state occupation number $\left\langle N_{\mathbf{0}} \right\rangle$.

The paper is organized as follows. Sec. II is devoted to outline the canonical ensemble, which is developed to consider the probability distribution and particle number fluctuations of trapped ideal Bose gases. In Secs. III-V we investigate the particle number fluctuations of 3D, 2D and 1D Bose gases trapped in a harmonic potential and in a box, respectively. The last section (Sec. VI) contains a discussion and summary of our results.

II. PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION AND PARTICLE NUMBER FLUCTUATIONS OF THE CONDENSATE

In the canonical ensemble, the canonical partition function of N trapped ideal bosons is given by

$$Z(N)=\sum_{\Sigma_{\mathbf{n}}N_{\mathbf{n}}=N}\exp\left[-\beta\Sigma_{\mathbf{n}}N_{\mathbf{n}}\varepsilon_{\mathbf{n}}\right],\tag{1}$$

where $\beta=1/k_BT$, $N_{\mathbf{n}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{n}}$ are occupation number and energy level of the state $\mathbf{n}$, respectively. The basic starting point of our approach for calculating the probability distribution of the condensate is to separate off the ground state labelled $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}$ from the states $\mathbf{n}\neq\mathbf{0}$:

$$Z(N)=\sum_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N}\{\exp\left[-\beta N_{\mathbf{0}}\varepsilon_{\mathbf{0}}\right]Z_0(N_T)\},\tag{2}$$

where $Z_0(N_T)$ represents the canonical partition function of a fictitious system consisting of $N_T=N-N_{\mathbf{0}}$ trapped ideal non-condensed bosons:

$$Z_0(N_T)=\sum_{\sum_{\mathbf{n}\neq\mathbf{0}}N_{\mathbf{n}}=N_T}\exp\left[-\beta\sum_{\mathbf{n}\neq\mathbf{0}}N_{\mathbf{n}}\varepsilon_{\mathbf{n}}\right].\tag{3}$$

Note that the ground state $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}$ has been separated off in the canonical partition function $Z_0(N_T)$ of the fictitious system. In the following we will find that this separation plays a crucial role for the calculations of the probability distribution of the system.

Assuming that $A_0(N,N_{\mathbf{0}})$ is the free energy of the fictitious system, we have

$$A_0(N,N_{\mathbf{0}})=-k_BT\ln Z_0(N_T).\tag{4}$$

The calculation of the free energy $A_0(N,N_{\mathbf{0}})$ is nontrivial because there is a requirement that the number of non-condensed bosons is N_T in the summation of the canonical partition function $Z_0(N_T)$. To proceed we define a generating function G_0 for $Z_0(N_T)$ in the following manner. For any complex number z, let

$$G_0(T,z)=\sum_{N_T=0}^{\infty}z^{N_T}Z_0(N_T).\tag{5}$$

The generating function can be evaluated easily. To obtain $Z_0(N_T)$ we note that by definition $Z_0(N_T)$ is the coefficient of z^{N_T} in the expansion of $G_0(T,z)$ in powers of z. Thus one has

$$Z_0(N_T)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz\frac{G_0(T,z)}{z^{N_T+1}},\tag{6}$$

where the contour of integration is a closed path in the complex z plane around $z=0$.

Assuming $\exp\left[g(z)\right]=G_0(T,z)/z^{N_T+1}$, the saddle point z_0 [36] is determined by $\partial g(z_0)/\partial z_0=0$. Expanding the integrand of Eq. (6) about $z=z_0$, we have

$$Z_0(N_T)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz\exp\left[g(z_0)+o\left((z-z_0)^2\right)\right],\tag{7}$$

where $o\left((z-z_0)^2\right)=\frac{1}{2}(z-z_0)^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_0^2}g(z_0)+\cdots$ represents the high order terms when expanding the integrand about the saddle point z_0.

Omitting the high order terms on the right hand side of Eq. (7), it is straightforward to obtain the following relations between the free energy $A_0(N,N_{\mathbf{0}})$ and the saddle point z_0 of the fictitious $N-N_{\mathbf{0}}$ non-condensed bosons

$$-\beta\frac{\partial}{\partial N_{\mathbf{0}}}A_0(N,N_{\mathbf{0}})=\ln z_0.\tag{8}$$

In addition, the saddle point z_0 is determined by

$$N_{\mathbf{0}}=N-\sum_{\mathbf{n}\neq\mathbf{0}}\frac{1}{\exp\left[\varepsilon_{\mathbf{n}}/k_BT\right]z_0^{-1}-1}.\tag{9}$$

Although the relations given by Eqs. (8) and (9) can not provide an explicit result of $Z_0(N_T)$, they are very useful to calculate the probability distribution of the condensate.

We should stress that the relations given by Eqs. (8) and (9) are reliable although the disputable saddle-point method is used to investigate the free energy of the fictitious system. It is well known that the applicability of the standard saddle-point method for condensed Bose gases has been the subject of a long debate [18,37]. In conventional approaches, the saddle-point method is used to discuss the canonical partition function $Z(N)$. The generating function is therefore defined by $G(T,z)=\sum_N^{\infty}z^NZ(N)$. In this scheme, the high order terms of $\ln Z(N)$ can not be omitted for the temperature below the onset of the BEC, because the factor $1/\left(z_0^{-1}e^{\beta\epsilon_{\mathbf{0}}}-1\right)$ in the high order terms would be on the order of N (See Eq. (8) in Ref. [27]). In our approach, however, the saddle-point method is used only to discuss the canonical partition function $Z_0(N_T)$ of the fictitious non-condensed bosons. Because the ground state has been separated off in $Z_0(N_T)$, the high order terms of $\ln Z_0(N_T)$ can be safely omitted.

Using the free energy of the fictitious system, the canonical partition function of the system becomes

$$Z(N)=\sum_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N}\exp\left[q(N,N_{\mathbf{0}})\right],\tag{10}$$

where

$$q(N,N_{\mathbf{0}})=-\beta N_{\mathbf{0}}\varepsilon_{\mathbf{0}}-\beta A_0(N,N_{\mathbf{0}}).\tag{11}$$

Obviously, $P(N_{\mathbf{0}}|N)=\exp\left[q(N,N_{\mathbf{0}})\right]/Z(N)$ represents the probability to find $N_{\mathbf{0}}$ atoms in the condensate.

Once $q\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ is calculated from the canonical partition function of the system, the statistical properties of the system can be easily obtained. However, it seems to be very difficult to obtain the analytical result of $q\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ directly from the canonical partition function. To avoid this difficulty, we turn to investigate the partial derivative of $q\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ with respect to $N_{\mathbf{0}}$. Assuming

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial N_{\mathbf{0}}} q\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)=\alpha\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right), \tag{12}$$

from Eq. (11) one obtains

$$-\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial N_{\mathbf{0}}} A_{0}(N, N_{\mathbf{0}})=\beta \varepsilon_{\mathbf{0}}+\alpha\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right). \tag{13}$$

Using the relations given by Eqs. (8) and (9), we get

$$N_{\mathbf{0}}=N-\sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{1}{\exp \left[\beta\left(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{n}}-\varepsilon_{\mathbf{0}}\right)\right] \exp [-\alpha\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)]-1}. \tag{14}$$

The most probability to find $N_{\mathbf{0}}$ atoms in the condensate is determined by requiring $\frac{\partial}{\partial N_{\mathbf{0}}} q\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)=0$. Thus the most probable value $N_{\mathbf{0}}^{p}$ is determined by setting $\alpha\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)=0$ in the right hand side of Eq. (14)

$$N_{\mathbf{0}}^{p}=N-\sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{1}{\exp \left[\beta\left(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{n}}-\varepsilon_{\mathbf{0}}\right)\right]-1}. \tag{15}$$

It is interesting to note that $N_{\mathbf{0}}^{p}$ is exactly the mean ground state occupation number in the frame of a grand-canonical ensemble. For sufficiently large N, the sum $\sum_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N}$ in Eq. (10) may be replaced by the largest term, since the error omitted in doing so will be statistically negligible. Hence the result given by Eq. (15) shows the equivalence between the canonical and grand-canonical ensembles for large N.

From the results given by Eqs. (14) and (15), we get the following equation for determining $\alpha\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$

$$N_{\mathbf{0}}-N_{\mathbf{0}}^{p}=\sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{1}{\exp \left[\beta\left(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{n}}-\varepsilon_{\mathbf{0}}\right)\right]-1} -\sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{1}{\exp \left[\beta\left(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{n}}-\varepsilon_{\mathbf{0}}\right)\right] \exp [-\alpha\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)]-1}. \tag{16}$$

Thus once we know the single-particle energy level of the system, it is straightforward to obtain $\alpha\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$. Using $\alpha\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$, one can obtain the probability distribution of the system.

From Eq. (12), we get the following result for $q\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$

$$q\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)=\int_{N_{\mathbf{0}}^{p}}^{N_{\mathbf{0}}} \alpha\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right) d N_{\mathbf{0}}+q\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}^{p}\right). \tag{17}$$

The partition function of the system is then

$$Z\left(N\right)=\sum_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N}\left\{\exp \left[q\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}^{p}\right)\right] G\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)\right\}, \tag{18}$$

where

$$G\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)=\exp \left[\int_{N_{\mathbf{0}}^{p}}^{N_{\mathbf{0}}} \alpha\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right) d N_{\mathbf{0}}\right]. \tag{19}$$

It is obvious that $G\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ represents the ratio $P\left(N_{\mathbf{0}}|N\right)/P(N_{\mathbf{0}}^{p}|N)$, *i.e.,* the relative probability to find $N_{\mathbf{0}}$ atoms in the condensate. From Eq. (19), the normalized probability distribution function is given by

$$G_{n}(N, N_{\mathbf{0}})=A_{n} \exp \left[\int_{N_{\mathbf{0}}^{p}}^{N_{\mathbf{0}}} \alpha\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right) d N_{\mathbf{0}}\right], \tag{20}$$

where A_{n} is a normalization constant.

As soon as we know $G\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$, the statistical properties of the system can be clearly described. From Eqs. (18) and (19) one obtains $\left\langle N_{\mathbf{0}}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\delta^{2} N_{\mathbf{0}}\right\rangle$ within the canonical ensemble:

$$\left\langle N_{\mathbf{0}}\right\rangle=\frac{\sum_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N} N_{\mathbf{0}} \exp \left[q\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)\right]}{\sum_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N} \exp \left[q\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)\right]}=\frac{\sum_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N} N_{\mathbf{0}} G\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)}{\sum_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N} G\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)}, \tag{21}$$

$$\left\langle\delta^{2} N_{\mathbf{0}}\right\rangle=\left\langle N_{\mathbf{0}}^{2}\right\rangle-\left\langle N_{\mathbf{0}}\right\rangle^{2} =\frac{\sum_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N} N_{\mathbf{0}}^{2} G\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)}{\sum_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N} G\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)}-\left[\frac{\sum_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N} N_{\mathbf{0}} G\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)}{\sum_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N} G\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)}\right]^{2}. \tag{22}$$

We see that in our approach, the calculation of $\alpha\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ by using Eq. (16) plays a crucial role to discuss the particle number fluctuations of the condensate. The probability distribution of the condensate is obtained from $\alpha\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$. We can give a fairly accurate description of the particle number fluctuations through the calculations of the probability distribution, although the high order terms are omitted when obtaining the relations (8) and (9). Our discussions on the particle number fluctuations are reasonable mainly due to the following reasons:

(i) Because the ground state ($\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}$) and the excited states ($\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}$) have been separated off when considering the partitioin function of the system, and the saddle-point approximation is only used to investigate the canonical partition function of the fictitious non-condensed bosons, $Z_{0}\left(N_{T}\right)$, the high order terms omitted in the approximation do not give a significant correction to the particle number fluctuations.

(ii) When obtaining $\alpha\left(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ through the difference between $N_{\mathbf{0}}$ and $N_{\mathbf{0}}^{p}$, the high order terms omitted in Eqs. (14) and (15) are nearly cancelled with each other. This

In Fig. 1 the dotted line displays the result of [21,28]. Our results coincide with that of [21,28], except near the critical temperature. In fact, below the critical temperature, from the probability distribution (29), one obtains the analytical result of the condensate fluctuations

$$\left\langle \delta^2 N_{\mathbf{0}} \right\rangle = \frac{\zeta(2)}{\zeta(3)} N t^3. \tag{30}$$

When obtaining Eq. (30) we have used Eq. (63) derived in the Appendix. Below the critical temperature, Eq. (30) recovers the result in [21,24,28] by noting that $\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$. This shows the validity of the probability distribution given by Eq. (29) for discussing the statistical properties of the system. At the critical temperature T_{3D}, however, our results give

$$\left\langle \delta^2 N_{\mathbf{0}} \right\rangle |_{T=T_{3D}} = \frac{(1-2/\pi)\,\zeta(2)\,N}{\zeta(3)}, \tag{31}$$

which is much smaller than that obtained in Ref. [28]. This difference is apprehensible because the analysis in Ref. [28] holds in the canonical ensemble except near and above T_{3D}, while our result holds also for the temperature near T_{3D}. Near the critical temperature, our result (solid line) agrees with that of Holthaus *et al.* [27]. Results given by Eqs. (30) and (31) show that particle number fluctuations in the 3D harmonic trap have a normal behavior, *i.e.*, they are proportional to N.

When $T \to 0$, the particle number fluctuations of the condensate should be zero. To check this, note that as $T \to 0$, $G_{3D}(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}) = A_{3D}$ if $N_{\mathbf{0}} = N$, while $G_{3D}(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}) \to 0$ when $N_{\mathbf{0}} \neq N$. Additionally, $N_{\mathbf{0}}^p \to N$ in the case of $T \to 0$. Thus, we obtain $\langle N_{\mathbf{0}} \rangle \to N$ and $\left\langle \delta^2 N_{\mathbf{0}} \right\rangle \to 0$ when $T \to 0$. The correct description of $\delta N_{\mathbf{0}}$ near zero termperature and critical temperature again shows the validity of our method.

It is well known that confinement reduces the fluctuation effect. Thus the fluctuations for bosons confined in a box should have a stronger dependence on N, in comparison with the case confined in the harmonic trap. To show this we consider a 3D ideal Bose gas confined in a cubic box. The single-particle energy level in this case is given by $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{n}} = \pi^2\hbar^2(n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2)/2mL^2$, where L is the length of the box. From Eqs. (16) and (19), the distribution function of the condensate is given by

$$G_{3B}(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}) = A_{3B} \exp\left[-\frac{\lambda^6}{12\pi V^2} \left|N_{\mathbf{0}} - N_{\mathbf{0}}^p\right|^3\right], \tag{32}$$

where $V = L^3$, A_{3B} and $\lambda = \sqrt{2\pi\beta\hbar^2/m}$ are normalization constant and thermal wavelength, respectively. From Eq. (32) we obtain the particle number fluctuations below the critical temperature:

$$\left\langle \delta^2 N_{\mathbf{0}} \right\rangle = A \left(\frac{m k_B T}{\hbar^2}\right)^2 V^{4/3}, \tag{33}$$

where the coefficient $A = 2/\pi^4 \times \Sigma_{\mathbf{n}\neq\mathbf{0}} 1/\mathbf{n}^4 = 0.105$. We see that, different from the harmonic trap, the particle number fluctuations of the 3D Bose gas in a box exhibit an anomalous behavior, *i.e.*, it is proportional to $V^{4/3}$ (or $N^{4/3}$).

IV. TWO-DIMENSIONAL BOSE GASES

In this section we turn to discuss trapped 2D Bose gases. The single-particle energy level of a 2D harmonically confined ideal Bose gas takes the form

$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{n}} = \left(n_x + \frac{1}{2}\right)\hbar\omega_x + \left(n_y + \frac{1}{2}\right)\hbar\omega_y. \tag{34}$$

From Eq. (14) one has

$$N_{\mathbf{0}} = N - \sum_{\mathbf{n}\neq\mathbf{0}} \frac{1}{\exp\left[\beta\left(n_x\hbar\omega_x + n_y\hbar\omega_y\right)\right]\exp[-\alpha(N, N_{\mathbf{0}})] - 1}. \tag{35}$$

Then we obtain $N_{\mathbf{0}}$ through a simple integration over $\mathbf{n}$ [41]:

$$N_{\mathbf{0}} = N - \left(\frac{k_B T}{\hbar\omega_{2D}}\right)^2 g_2(\alpha) - \frac{[\zeta(2)]^{1/2} k_B T \ln N}{\hbar\omega_{2D}}, \tag{36}$$

where $\omega_{2D} = (\omega_x\omega_y)^{1/2}$. When $0 < \alpha << 1$, there is a good approximation [40] for $g_2(\alpha)$:

$$g_2(\alpha) \approx \zeta(2) + \alpha(1 - \ln\alpha). \tag{37}$$

In the case of $\alpha < 0$ and $|\alpha| << 1$, $g_2(\alpha)$ is

$$g_2(\alpha) \approx \zeta(2) - |\alpha|(1 - \ln|\alpha|). \tag{38}$$

By setting $\alpha = 0$ in Eq. (36), we obtain the most probable value $N_{\mathbf{0}}^p$ as:

$$N_{\mathbf{0}}^p = N - \left(\frac{k_B T}{\hbar\omega_{2D}}\right)^2 \zeta(2) - \frac{[\zeta(2)]^{1/2} k_B T \ln N}{\hbar\omega_{2D}}. \tag{39}$$

Using the approximations given by Eqs. (37) and (38), we arrive at the following result for $\alpha(N, N_{\mathbf{0}})$:

$$\alpha(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}) = -\frac{\zeta(2)\,\zeta(3)\,(N_{\mathbf{0}} - N_{\mathbf{0}}^p)}{2Nt^2 \ln\left(Nt^2/\zeta(2)\right)}, \tag{40}$$

where $T_{2D} = \left(\frac{N}{\zeta(2)}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\hbar\omega_{2D}}{k_B}$ is the critical temperature of the system in the thermodynamic limit. Accordingly, the probability distribution of the system reads

$$G_{2D}(N, N_{\mathbf{0}}) = A_{2D} \exp\left[-\frac{\zeta(2)\,\zeta(3)\,(N_{\mathbf{0}} - N_{\mathbf{0}}^p)^2}{4Nt^2 \ln\left(Nt^2/\zeta(2)\right)}\right], \tag{41}$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Science Foundation of Zhijiang College, Zhejiang University of Technology and Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province, China. G. X. Huang was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, the Trans-Century Training Programme Foundation for the Talents and the University Key Teacher Foundation of Chinese Ministry of Education. S. J. Liu and H. W. Xiong thank Professors G. S. Jia and J. F. Shen for their enormous encouragement.

APPENDIX

The probability distribution of a harmonically trapped Bose gas is a Gaussian function for various dimensions. The ratio between $P(N_{\mathbf{0}}|N)$ and $P(N_{\mathbf{0}}^p|N)$ is given by

$$G\left(N_{\mathbf{0}},N_{\mathbf{0}}^p\right)=\exp\left[-a\left(N_{\mathbf{0}}-N_{\mathbf{0}}^p\right)^2\right], \tag{57}$$

where a is a constant and is related to the confinement, dimensionality and the total number of particles of the system. The sum in Eq. (22) can be replaced by an integral

$$\left\langle\delta^2 N_{\mathbf{0}}\right\rangle=\frac{\int_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N} N_{\mathbf{0}}^2 G\left(N,N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)dN_{\mathbf{0}}}{\int_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N} G\left(N,N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)dN_{\mathbf{0}}} - \left[\frac{\int_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N} N_{\mathbf{0}} G\left(N,N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)dN_{\mathbf{0}}}{\int_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N} G\left(N,N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)dN_{\mathbf{0}}}\right]^2. \tag{58}$$

Using the integral transformation $x=N_{\mathbf{0}}-N_{\mathbf{0}}^p$, one obtains

$$\int_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N} N_{\mathbf{0}}^2 G\left(N,N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)dN_{\mathbf{0}}=\int_{-N_{\mathbf{0}}^p}^{N-N_{\mathbf{0}}^p}(x+N_{\mathbf{0}}^p)^2\exp[-ax^2]dx. \tag{59}$$

For the temperature below the critical temperature, $N_{\mathbf{0}}^p>>1$. Hence the integral in Eq. (59) can be estimated to be

$$\int_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N} N_{\mathbf{0}}^2 G\left(N,N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)dN_{\mathbf{0}}\approx\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(x+N_{\mathbf{0}}^p)^2\exp[-ax^2]dx. \tag{60}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\int_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N} G\left(N,N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)dN_{\mathbf{0}}\approx\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\exp[-ax^2]dx, \tag{61}$$

and

$$\int_{N_{\mathbf{0}}=0}^{N} N_{\mathbf{0}} G\left(N,N_{\mathbf{0}}\right)dN_{\mathbf{0}}\approx\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(x+N_{\mathbf{0}}^p)\exp[-ax^2]dx. \tag{62}$$

Using the formulas (60), (61) and (62), it is straightforward to obtain the analytical result of $\left\langle\delta^2 N_{\mathbf{0}}\right\rangle$ for the temperature below the critical temperature

$$\left\langle\delta^2 N_{\mathbf{0}}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2a}. \tag{63}$$

We can see from Eq. (63) that the behavior of the fluctuations is determined by the factor a.

At the critical temperature in the thermodynamic limit, we have $N_{\mathbf{0}}^p=0$. The probability distribution of the system is then

$$G\left(N_{\mathbf{0}},N_{\mathbf{0}}^p\right)=\exp\left[-aN_{\mathbf{0}}^2\right]. \tag{64}$$

After a simple calculation, the analytical result of $\left\langle\delta^2 N_{\mathbf{0}}\right\rangle$ at the critical temperature is given by

$$\left\langle\delta^2 N_{\mathbf{0}}\right\rangle=\left(1-\frac{2}{\pi}\right)\frac{1}{2a}. \tag{65}$$

[1] M.H. Anderson, J.R. Ensher, M.R. Matthews, C.E. Wieman and E.A. Cornell, Science **269**, 198 (1995); K.B. Davis, M.-O. Mewes, M.R. Andrews, N.J. van Druten, D.S. Durfee, D.M. Kurn and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3969 (1995); C.C. Bradley, C.A. Sackett, J.J. Tollett and R.G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1687 (1995).

[2] T.C. Killian, D.G. Fried, L. Willmann, D. Landhuis, S.C. Moss, T.J. Greytak and D. Kleppner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 3807 (1998); D.G. Fried, T.C. Killian, L. Willmann, D. Landhuis, S.C. Moss, D. Kleppner and T.J. Greytak, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 3811 (1988).

[3] A. Robert, O. Sirjean, A. Browaeys, J. Poupard, S. Nowak, D. Boiron, C.I. Westbrook, A. Aspect, Science **292**, 461 (2001); F. Pereira Dos Santos, J. Leonard, J. Wang, C.J. Barrelet, F. Perales, E. Rasel, C.S. Unnikrishnan, M. Leduc, C. Cohen-Tannoudji, Phy. Rev. Lett. **86**, 3459 (2001).

[4] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L.P. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 463 (1999) and references therein.

[5] A.S. Parkin and D.F. Walls, Phys. Rep. **303**, 1 (1998).

[6] A.J. Leggett, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 307 (2001).

[7] A. Görlitz, J.M. Vogels, A.E. Leanhardt, C. Raman, T.L. Gustavson, J.R. Abo-Shaeer, A.P. Chikkatur, S. Gupta, S. Inouye, T. Rosenband, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 130402 (2001); M. Greiner *et al.*, cond-mat/0105105; F. Schreck *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 080403 (2001).

[8] D.S. Petrov, M. Holzmann, and G.V. Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2551 (2000).

[9] D.S. Petrov, G.V. Shlyapnikov, and J.T.M. Walraven, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3745 (2000).

[10] Y. Kagan, B.V. Svistunov, and G.V. Shlyapnikov, Sov. Phys. JETP **66**, 314 (1987).

[11] L. Tonks, Phys. Rev. **50**, 955 (1936).

[12] M. Olshanii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 938 (1998).

[13] Z. Idziaszek, K. Rzażewski and M. Lewenstein, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 053608 (2000).

[14] J. Javanainen and S.M. Yoo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 161 (1996); J. Javanainen and M. Wilkens, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 4675 (1997).

[15] E.M. Wright, D.F. Walls and J.C. Garrison, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 2158 (1996); E.M. Wright, T. Wong, M.J. Collett, S.M. Tan and D.F. Walls, Phys. Rev. A **56**, 591 (1997).

[16] E.H. Hauge, Physica Norvegica **4**, 19 (1969).

[17] I. Fujiwara, D. ter Haar and H. Wergeland, J. Stat. Phys. **2**, 329 (1970).

[18] R.M. Ziff, G.E. Uhlenbeck and M. Kac, Phys. Rep. **32**, 169 (1977).

[19] P. Borrmann, J. Harting, O. Mülken and E.R. Hilf, Phys. Rev. A **60**, 1519 (1999).

[20] M. Wilkens and C. Weiss, J. Mod. Opt. **44**, 1801 (1997).

[21] H.D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. A **54**, 5048 (1996).

[22] M. Gajda and K. Rzażewski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2686 (1997).

[23] S. Grossmann and M. Holthaus, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3557 (1997).

[24] P. Navez, D. Bitouk, M. Gajda, Z. Idziaszek and K. Rzażewski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 1789 (1997).

[25] N.L. Balazs and T. Bergeman, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 2359 (1998).

[26] M. Holthaus, E. Kalinowski and K. Kirsten, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) **270**, 198 (1998).

[27] M. Holthaus, E. Kalinowski, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) **276**, 321 (1999).

[28] S. Giorgini, L.P. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5040 (1998).

[29] Z. Idziaszek, M. Gajda, P. Navez, M. Wilkens and K. Rzażewski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 4376 (1999).

[30] F. Illuminati, P. Navez and M. Wilkens, J. Phys. B **32**, L461 (1999).

[31] F. Meier and W. Zwerger, Phys. Rev. A **60**, 5133 (1999).

[32] V.V. Kocharovsky, Vl.V. Kocharovsky and M.O. Scully, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2306 (2000).

[33] D. Jaksch, C.W. Gardiner, K.M. Gheri, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 1450 (1998).

[34] H.W. Xiong, S.J. Liu, G.X. Huang, Z.J. Xu, and C.Y. Zhang, J. Phys. B **34**, 4203 (2001). (or cond-mat/0106363)

[35] J.O. Anderson and H.T.C. Stoof, cond-mat/0109143

[36] C.G. Darwin and R.H. Fowler, Phil. Mag. **44**, 450, 823 (1922); Proc. Combridge Phil. Soc. **21**, 262 (1922).

[37] R.B. Dingle, Proc. Cambridge. Phil. Soc. **45**, 275 (1949); A.R. Fraser, Phil. Mag. **42**, 165 (1951).

[38] S. Grossmann and M. Holthaus, Phys. Lett. A **208**, 188 (1995); W. Ketterle and N.J. van Druten, Phys. Rev. A **54**, 656 (1996); K. Kirsten and D.J. Toms, Phys. Rev. A **54**, 4188 (1996); T. Haugset, H. Haugerud and J.O. Andersen, Phys. Rev. A **55**, 2922 (1997); O. Mülken, P. Borrmann, J. Harting and H. Stamerjohanns, Phys. Rev. A **64**, 013611 (2001).

[39] S.J. Liu, G.X. Huang, L. Ma, S.H. Zhu and H.W. Xiong, J. Phys. B **33**, 3911 (2000); H.W. Xiong, S.J. Liu, G.X. Huang, Z.J. Xu and C.Y. Zhang, J. Phys. B **34**, 3013 (2001).

[40] J.E. Robinson, Phys. Rev. **83**, 678 (1951); F. London, *Superfluids* (Wiley, New York, 1954), Vol. II Appendix p.203.

[41] W.J. Mullin, J. Low. Temp. Phys. **106**, 615 (1997).

[42] W. Ketterle, and N.J. van Druten, Phys. Rev. A **54** 656 (1996).

[43] In software Mathematic 4.0, $ProductLog\,[z]$ is defined as the solution for w in $z = we^{w}$, and is easily dealed with.